



**LRPC COMMITTEE MEETING**

Thursday April 8, 2026

**Minutes**

**LOCATION:** Multipurpose Room A  
**TIME::** 7:00 PM  
**AUDIENCE MEMBERS:** Not Recorded

**ATTENDING:**

**Staff Members:** Jo Anne Jensen, GM  
 Spencer Huston, IT

**1 Call to Order**

Director Van de Polder called the meeting to order at 7:01PM.

**2. Roll Call.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

1. Taimi Van de Polder	4. Ken Van de Polder	7. Jeff Mildner	10. Brad Edwards absent
2. Sean Chaffee	5. April West	8. Elizabeth Huthert	11. David Gustafson absent
3. Mitch Waterman	6. Gary Bara	9 Chad Avery	12. Forest Cat absent
13. John Gingrich absent	14. Michael Davolio		

**3. Adoption of Agenda**

<b>Motion By:</b> Taimi Van de Polder		<b>Seconded By:</b>	
<b>Approved:</b> X	<b>Not Approved:</b>	<b>Tabled:</b>	<b>Died:</b>
<b>In Favor:</b> Unanimous	<b>Against:</b>	<b>Abstained</b>	

3. a). Move to amend the agenda to move add item 7B dog park focus group

<b>Motion By:</b> Mitch Waterman		<b>Seconded By:</b> Taimi Van de Polder	
<b>Approved:</b> X	<b>Not Approved:</b>	<b>Tabled:</b>	<b>Died:</b>
<b>In Favor:</b> Unanimous	<b>Against:</b>	<b>Abstained</b>	

**4. Announcements - None**

**5. Approval of Minutes – March 18, 2026**

<b>Motion By:</b> Taimi Van de Polder	<b>Seconded By:</b>
---------------------------------------	---------------------

<b>Approved: X</b>	<b>Not Approved:</b>	<b>Tabled:</b>	<b>Died:</b>
<b>In Favor:</b>	<b>Against:</b>	<b>Abstained</b>	

## 6. Continuing Business

### a) Safe Cycling Group – Pump Track Update

Representatives from Sudden Valley Safe Cycling presented the results of their feasibility work on a proposed bicycle pump track. Their assessment concluded that the originally proposed recreation corridor site is unlikely to be feasible because of significant regulatory and environmental constraints, including stream and wetland buffers, shoreline jurisdiction, habitat protection areas, and possible geologic hazards. The presenters discussed several possible alternative locations, including the airstrip, campground, and other parcels, but did not recommend a preferred site at this time. The committee received the report and discussed next steps for further evaluation.

### b) Update on Area Y

Committee members discussed potential future uses for Area Y, the airstrip, and the campground as part of a broader 3-, 5-, and 10-year planning effort. The discussion focused on whether recommendations should be made to the board regarding underused properties and how these assets might better serve community needs.

It was noted that Area Y and the airstrip have R5 zoning and may be suitable for consideration for future development or marketing if the property is not expected to be used directly. The campground was also reviewed, but as it lost its conditional use permit, there is a major limitation on future use. It was noted that PSE has not shown any interest in installing solar panels in area Y.

## 7. New Business

### a) Discussion 3, 5, 10 year plan

The committee discussed the possibility of using vacant clubhouse or former administrative office space as a temporary senior center or broader community gathering space. Discussion included accessibility, parking, room layout, renovation needs, code requirements, and the distinction between a senior center and a more inclusive community center. Members agreed that a more focused discussion was needed, and a motion passed unanimously to place this topic on the next meeting agenda for detailed review.

Members also discussed the need for a broader 3-, 5-, and 10-year plan for Sudden Valley and referenced SWOT analysis as a useful framework for future planning. Committee members were asked to review planning materials and return with priorities and recommendations at a future meeting.

### b) Dog Park Focus Group Report

Mitch Waterman presented a summary of the dog park focus group meeting. The group included participants with differing viewpoints and discussed the current off-leash area, proposed fencing, liability concerns, fairness of park access, maintenance, and overall park use. According to the summary, there was broad agreement that the off-leash dog area should be separated from the rest of the park and that the grounds should be improved with drainage, seeding, and maintenance. Participants differed on the appropriate size of the enclosed area, the cost and appearance of fencing, and how to balance the needs of dog owners with those of other park users. The committee noted that the report would be shared with the board, but no vote was scheduled for the next board meeting.

**Adjournment:** 8:03 PM

